# D 4.12 Follow-up Reports 2

WP 4 Future Mobility and Pedagogies Date: 31<sup>st</sup> August 2023

# filmeu

European Universities Alliance for **Film and Media Arts** 

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

.

Horizon 2020



# Contents

| D 4.12 Follow-up report 2nd year                        | 2 |
|---|---|
| Mobility Management                                     | 4 |
| What worked well?                                       | 4 |
| What could have worked better?                          | 4 |
| What can we do to improve?                              | 4 |
| FILMEU Talks  | 5 |
| Participants  | 5 |
| What worked well?                                       | 5 |
| What could have worked better?                          | 5 |
| What should we do to improve the project (suggestions)? | 6 |
| Cineclub  | 6 |
| Participants  | 6 |
| What worked well?                                       | 6 |
| What could have worked better?                          | 6 |
| What should we do to improve the project?               | 6 |

1



## **Mobility Management**

Harmonization of Mobility management across the Alliance was a key milestone to be reached during the second year.

#### What worked well?

- Collaboration between partners, in particular between the different International Offices (WP4) and the Work Package 2;
- Knowledge sharing among peers;
- Onboarding of Baltic Film School BFM;
- Integration with EWP among all the partners;
- Harmonization of mobility processes;
  - Pedagogically (WP2)
  - Administratively (WP4)
- Increase of physical and virtual mobilities between partners (staff meeting weeks)

#### What could have worked better?

- SOP Mobility-Online management system implementation:
  O SOP-MO is not user-friendly and the adoption curve is hard
- Different resource availability, scale, mobility numbers, and funding between partners.
- Availability of mobility data for reporting is difficult to collect:
- Improve the harmonization of the mobility workflows between partners align things better;

#### What can we do to improve?

- Harmonize funding to reduce partner asymmetries;
- More clear mobility workflows and processes;
- Data harmonization;
- Incorporate mobility added value into FILMEU (benefits / measurable);



# FILMEU Talks



#### **Participants**

FILMEU TALKS reached 464 participants in the first four months. These participants included students, staff, teachers, and the general public from within the 4 partner alliance and beyond.

#### What worked well?

- Guests' availability and interest in sharing experiences and ideas;
- Collaboration between partners;
- The quality and the variety of the sessions;
- FILMEU brand / Alliance awareness and promotion amongst students, staff, and industry.

#### What could have worked better?

- Speakers' availability and interest in sharing experiences and ideas;
- Collaboration between partners;
- The quality and the variety of the sessions;
- FILMEU brand / Alliance awareness and promotion amongst students, staff, and industry.
- Many registrations but participant attendance was often quite low;



- Not enough students engaged;
- Registration process for each talk;
- FilmEU Talks is part of the SAMSARA pedagogical model:
  - It's not something apart from it
  - It's something that should be incorporated in classes/part of them;
- Mobility is sharing: The TALKS guests shared a lot of content, value, and experience that needed to be fully integrated.

#### What should we do to improve the project (suggestions)?

- Change the periodicity (1 per month) which leads to more time for promotion and include them in class;
- Format flexibility (online in person);
- Implement the use of topics (sound, image, production, etc)
- Integrate each talk as a regular activity (pedagogical) from the same area in the degree, master;

### Cineclub

#### **Participants**

CINECLUB reached 378 participants in the first academic year (4 sessions). These participants included students and teachers from within the 4 alliance partners.

#### What worked well?

- Collaboration between partners;
- The quality of the sessions;
- FILMEU brand / Alliance awareness;
- Diversity in films;
- Student engagement (AV students and as participants).

#### What could have worked better?

- Many registrations, but not always reflected in the number of participants;
- Registration process for each session:
- In need of a system used by all partners.
- Not always enough time between sessions to communicate properly.

#### What should we do to improve the project?

• Films decided in advance:

www.filmev.ev 💶



- Possibility to share the schedule at the start of the semester and after each session;
- Include the screening in an existing course
- Common registration system for all partners.



Follow us on social media @filmeualliance

www.filmeu.eu

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or [name of the granting authority]. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.